STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA			194261			
Gas Co. for a ibility and Pula Base Load R	plication of South (Certificate of Envir olic Convenience a	Carolina Electric &) ronmental Compat- nd Necessity and for) ne Construction and) nt Jenkinsville, SC))	PUBLIC SERV OF SOUT COVEI	RE THE VICE COMMITH CAROLIN R SHEET		
(Please type or print				4070		
Submitted by:				C Bar Number: 1872 Selephone: 803-771-0555		
Address:			Telephone: Fax:	803-771-8010		
	Columbia, SC 29205		Other:	003-771-0010		
			Email: selliott@elliottlaw.us			
Other:	Check one)	NAT	URE OF ACTION	N (Check all tha	t apply)	
			☐ Letter		Request	
Electric/Gas		Agreement	☐ Memorandur	n	Request for Certification	
☐ Electric/Telecommunications		☐ Answer	☐ Motion		Request for Investigation	
☐ Electric/Water		Appellate Review	Objection		Resale Agreement	
Electric/Water/Telecom.		Application	Petition		Resale Amendment	
Electric/Water/Sewer		Brief	Petition for F	Reconsideration	Reservation Letter	
Gas		Certificate	Petition for F	Rulemaking	Response	
Railroad		Comments	Petition for Ru	ıle to Show Cause	Response to Discovery	
Sewer		Complaint	Petition to In	tervene	Return to Petition	
Telecommunic	ations	Consent Order	Petition to Inte	ervene Out of Time	☐ Stipulation	
☐ Transportation		Discovery	Prefiled Test	imony	Subpoena	
☐ Water		Exhibit	Promotion		☐ Tariff	
☐ Water/Sewer		Expedited Consideration	on Proposed Or	der	Other:	
Administrative	e Matter	Interconnection Agreeme	_			
Other:		Interconnection Amendm	<u> </u>	Affidavit		
		Late-Filed Exhibit	Report			

ELLIOTT & ELLIOTT, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

721 OLIVE STREET COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29205 selliott@elliottlaw.us

AUG 0 7 2008

SCOTT ELLIOTT

TELEPHONE (803) 771-0555 FACSIMILE (803) 771-8010

August 6, 2008

Mr. Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk of the Commission SC Public Service Commission P. O. Drawer 11649 Columbia, SC 29211

RE:

Combined Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity and for a Base Load Review Order for the Construction and Operation of a Nuclear Facility at Jenkinsville, South Carolina

Docket No. 2008-196-E

Dear Mr. Terreni:

As the record will reflect, we represent the South Carolina Energy Users Committee ("SCEUC") and CMC Steel South Carolina ("CMC Steel"), intervenors in the above captioned action. Given the novel questions of law and fact created by the Base Load Review Act, SC Code Ann. §§58-33-210 et seq, given the breadth and depth of the financial and technical facts and evidence underlying the application herein, and given the public interest in the construction of nuclear base load generation, the SCEUC and CMC Steel respectfully request that the hearing in this matter be continued and that the pre-filing deadlines be extended to permit the parties sufficient time to investigate and develop the record. Simply put, SCE&G proposes to build two nuclear plants with life spans of 60 years at its cost of \$6.3 billion and set in motion average annual rates increases of 2.5% during construction or 37% over the life of the project.

Although the order in this docket is due nine months after filing, or roughly by February 28, 2009, the hearing in this matter is set for October 27, 2008, just five months after filing. The applicant's testimony is due September 16, 2008; the direct testimony of all other parties is due a mere fourteen days later on September 30, 2008.

SCE&G is the first utility to request the relief afforded by a Base Load Review Order. SCE&G is on the cutting edge of nuclear construction in the United States, the utility proposes to build nuclear facilities which are novel in design and which have not been constructed before. A review of the application, public utterances of the utility, and preliminary discovery in the matter demonstrate the facts in issue and documentary evidence to be voluminous.

Mr. Terreni August 6, 2008 Page 2

In other complex proceedings before this Commission, intervening parties have had the benefit of a more realistic prefiling schedule. For instance, in the last two general rate cases before this Commission, both of which involved SCE&G, intervenors were given considerably more time to address the facts in issue in those proceedings. In Docket Number 04-178-E, the intervenors had approximately ninety (90) days to consider the direct testimony submitted in support of SCE&G's rate increase. In Docket Number 07-229-E, the intervenors were given approximately seventy-five (75) days to consider the direct testimony submitted in support of that application for a rate increase. These rate cases involved more traditional rate making concepts and familiar application of law to facts. Indeed the timetable for concluding these dockets was even more abbreviated than the timetable required in this docket: six months versus the nine months required in this docket.

Therefore, we respectfully request that the hearing in this matter be continued to January 12, 2009, and that the pre-filing deadlines be set as follows:

Direct testimony of Applicant

Direct testimony of all other parties

Rebuttal testimony

Sur-rebuttal testimony

Sur-rebuttal testimony

September 16, 2008

November 17, 2008

December 1, 2008

December 15, 2007.

The schedule requested would not only afford all other parties additional time to investigate the voluminous facts and evidence associated with this docket but also would provide the intervening parties sufficient time to respond to the applicant's pre-filed direct testimony.

We note that SCE&G has now filed an application for a mid-year increase for recovery of its fuel costs requesting a hearing be set October 20, 2008. The timing of SCE&G's application for a mid-year fuel cost increase further impairs the intervenors' ability to investigate and litigate the issues in the base load proceeding and militates in favor of modifying the schedule as outlined herein.

Therefore, we respectfully request that this Commission grant a continuance in the matter as outlined and reestablish the filing dates as set out herein. If counsel or the Commission has questions, we would be happy to answer them.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elliott & Elliott, P.A

Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.

Scott Elliott

Damon E. Xenopoulos

SE/jcl

cc: All Parties of Record